



Appraisal of a master's thesis including presentation

To
University of Applied Sciences Rottenburg
Study Course M.Sc. SENCE
Schadenweilerhof
72108 Rottenburg am Neckar

Note:

It is possible to fill in the information on this pdf- form at the computer. Please use the boxes which are deposited with yellow color. The information, which is inscribed on the first page, will be transferred automatically to the following page. The final score on the last page is also automatically calculated on the basis of the grades on page 2 and 3.

The scientific work is graded on page 2 (80%) and the presentation is graded on page 3 (20%). On this form, additionally to the individual score, there is also the weighting represented. You have the opportunity to justify your appraisal on page 4. The final score is -automatically calculated- presented on the bottom of page 4.

Merely the signature has to be filled in - either digital or after printing manually - on every page.

Place:

Date:

Date format: dd/mm/yyyy

the following master's thesis is overseen and assessed by myself:

created by:

Title:

You receive my appraisal in the enclosure.

Yours sincerely,

Name (examiner)

Appraisal - Report of scientific work



Name of Student:

Title of work:

in consideration of following grading scheme (Mr.Pelz):

%	< 50	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65 - 69	70 - 74
grade	5,0	4,0	3,7	3,3	3,0	2,7

%	75 - 79	80 - 84	85 - 89	90 - 94	95 - 100
grade	2,3	2,0	1,7	1,3	1,0

criteria	weighting	grade	scores	comment
1.compilation, developement and presentation of the topic and the definition of the problem included in the subject of the work	0,15	1,3		
2.necessary documentary material, introduction and discussion	0,15	1,7		
3. logical and systematic structure of the work, conclusiveness of the argumentation	0,20	1,7		
4. correctness and completeness of the contents, understanding of basics, and substance of the content	0,20	1,3		
5. independence, commitment and discrete contributions(developement of own concepts, approaches and creativity)	0,15	1,7		
6. linguistic adequacy (phrasing, comprehensibility)	0,10	1,3		
7. consideration of formal rules (references, citation, title page), spelling, and punctuation	0,05	1,0		
sum:	1			individual score:
percentage of scientific work on overall score:	0,8			

examiner:

location/date:

signature:

Appraisal - Presentation



Name of Student: _____

Period:

Topic: _____

criteria	weighting	grade	scores
presentation correct in respect of content (correct information, reasonable profundity, significant examples)			
	0,2	2,3	
layout of presentation appropriate (reasonable mixture of text, pictures and animation)			
	0,2	1,3	
composition and structure (Contents intelligible, comprehensive and analogously verbalised, distinct structure)			
	0,2	2,7	
discussion (Reply to questions, defining a position, moderation)			
	0,2	2,3	
language and appearance (language, gesture, diction, performance)			
	0,2	1,3	
overall grade			
sum:	1		individual score:
percentage of scientific work on overall score:	0,2		

examiner: _____

location/date: _____

signature: _____

summarised appraisal (optional):



Overall grade:

Student:

Topic:

weighted final score of the master's thesis:			final score:

examiner:

location/date:

signature: